


# Survey of Emerging Cataloging Practices: Use of RDA by Academic Libraries

*Salman Haider*

*ePub | \*DOC | audiobook | ebooks | Download PDF*



DOWNLOAD



READ ONLINE

#5827206 in Books 2016-05-02 Original language: English 11.00 x .26 x 8.50l, #File Name: 1574403834112 pages | File size: 25.Mb

**Salman Haider : Survey of Emerging Cataloging Practices: Use of RDA by Academic Libraries** before purchasing it in order to gauge whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised Survey of Emerging Cataloging Practices: Use of RDA by Academic Libraries:

The study presents data and commentary from 60 predominantly academic libraries about their use of Resource Description and Cataloging rules, or RDA. The questionnaire was largely designed and the summary written by award winning cataloging and metadata librarian Salman Haider, currently working as Cataloging Librarian at the U.S. Library of Congress. Data is broken out by public private status of academic institution, tuition level, and type or Carnegie class among other variables. The study reports on library perceptions of RDA, ease of implementation,

librarian training and use, and reception by patrons, among other issues. The study presents detailed commentary on the integration of RDA with ILS systems, and reports on the impact of RDA on cataloging productivity and use of staff time. It also contains detailed information on how librarians are training for use of RDA and what resources they are using to accomplish this. The report also looks at the general state of cataloging in academic libraries with questions about budget, staffing, technology use and more. Just a few of the reports many finding are that: According to the survey participants 111.72 minutes is the mean extra time needed for every 10 library items cataloged using RDA vs. prior procedures. The median time extra was 50 minutes, and the range was from 0 to 600 minutes. A plurality of survey participants were not in favor of retro-conversion service for RDA cataloging as they do not think that it will result in saving of time and money, and high quality records. Out of all 56 responses received 26 were against retro-conversion, 12 favored it, and 18 responses contained mixed opinions. 35.59 percent of all survey participants say the library has spent about the same on cataloging over the past five years, while 32.20 percent estimate that they have spent somewhat less. Just 8.47 percent of participants say their institutions have spent somewhat more on cataloging.